Isolation and identification of *Cryptosporidium* from water samples in Bangladesh
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**ABSTRACT**

Water-borne cryptosporidiosis, a neglected parasitic disease, presents a serious threat to human health due to the ubiquitous distribution of *Cryptosporidium* species affecting humans and animals, and due to the resistance of the oocysts to harsh environmental conditions, various disinfectants, and some common therapeutic agents. Water is a great reservoir for contamination and a potential medium of transmission of the pathogen. The present study was aimed to isolate and identify *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in water samples in Bangladesh. Water samples from small ponds, waste water bodies and drains were collected from different areas of the Mymensingh and Kushtia districts of Bangladesh. To detect *Cryptosporidium*, the samples were assessed by microscopy, using the conventional Ziehl-Neelsen staining method, and by PCR. By microscopy, *Cryptosporidium* oocysts were detected in 5 (25%) of 20 samples examined collected from the Mymensingh district, which were further confirmed by PCR. Importantly, *Cryptosporidium* was detected by PCR in drain water but was not detected by conventional microscopy. In the Kushtia district, 2 (20%) of the 10 samples examined by microscopy were positive. *Cryptosporidium* was not detected in waste water by conventional microscopy and PCR. However, the results confirm the presence of *Cryptosporidium* in different types of sources of water in both Mymensingh and Kushtia districts, demonstrating the existence of *Cryptosporidium* in the study areas. Further studies are need to explore the present status of prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* in humans and animals in order to better understand the transmission dynamics of the parasite and thereafter take necessary measures to control and/or prevent the disease.
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INTRODUCTION

*Cryptosporidium* is a zoonotic protozoan parasite which infects the intestinal epithelium of diverse mammals including humans, and causes a diarrheal disease, Cryptosporidiosis (Tzipori and Ward, 2002). *Cryptosporidium* is the most notorious one among more than 150 potentially waterborne pathogens (WHO, 2004), causes gastrointestinal illness in a variety of mammals. The infection is usually self-limiting in immune-competent individuals, but fatal in immuno-compromised individuals, e.g., acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) or leukaemia patients, taking immunosuppressive agents, malnourished children and elderly individuals (Current et al., 1983; Alves et al., 2001; Mohandas 2002). Cryptosporidiosis is prevalent worldwide (Dalle et al., 2003; Leoni et al., 2007; Lake et al., 2008; Zintl et al., 2008).

Water is the principal reservoir for contamination and potential medium of transmission of the parasite *Cryptosporidium* oocysts, which are excreted by infected animals and humans, are commonly found in lakes, rivers and streams that serve as drinking water sources. *Cryptosporidium* oocysts are resistant to normal environmental condition and common disinfectants. Traditional epidemiological investigations only provide
information on the prevalence of this parasite but
do not provide any information for tracking
infection sources and or transmission dynamics of
*Cryptosporidium*. Molecular epidemiological
studies have significantly improved our knowledge
of cryptosporidiosis. Recently, molecular
diagnostic tools have been developed to assess the
human infection potential of *Cryptosporidium*
oocysts in water and to track the sources of
contamination.

*Cryptosporidium* species are reported to be a
significant cause of diarrhoeal illness of young
children especially less than 5 years of age in
Bangladesh (Rahman et al., 1990; Bhattacharya et
al., 1997, Albert et al., 1999). About a decade ago,
infection with *Cryptosporidium* species were
reported in about 1.4 -8.4% of diarrhoeal patients
(Haque et al., 2003; Khan et al., 2004) from
International Centre for Diarrheal Disease
Research, Bangladesh in Dhaka, (ICDDR’B). On
the other hand, about three decades ago, the
disease was also found prevalent among the
animal population in Bangladesh (Rahman et al.,
1985). Clinical cases in most of places in
Bangladesh are treated as undiagnosed diarrhoeal
patients. Despite enormous effect on animal and
human health, presently very little attention has
been paid to determine the present status of
*Cryptosporidium* infection in Bangladesh.

The small subunit (SSU) rRNA genes of
*Cryptosporidium* were usually targeted to detect
and differentiate Cryptosporidium from animal
and human sources (Xiao et al., 1999).-The recent
epidemiological data provided information on the
infection potentials and transmission dynamics of
*Cryptosporidium* in developed and developing
countries. Bangladesh is one of the developing
countries with poor water purification, supply, and
waste management but *Cryptosporidium* is
endemic, nonetheless, molecular epidemiological
investigations are yet to be performed to determine
reliable, solid and applicable information. The
study was conducted to adopt a method for
isolation and detection of *Cryptosporidium* from
different water sources in Bangladesh.

**MATERIALS AND METHOD**

Study area and period

Water samples were collected from the
Mymensingh and Kushtia districts of Bangladesh.
In the Mymensingh district, samples were
collected from the Bangladesh Agricultural
University campus area, Churkhai and Sutiakhali
areas. In the Kushtia district samples were
collected from Astanagar and Jhaudia. The water
samples were initially processed, as indicated
below, at the collection sites and then brought to
the laboratory at the Department of Parasitology,
Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh.
Part of the work was done at the laboratory of
Department of Microbiology and Hygiene,
Bangladesh Agricultural University Mymensingh.

**Sample collection and processing**

During the present study, water samples were
collected from various prospective waters sources
like small ponds, waste water bodies and drains
connected either directly or indirectly with animal
houses, farms or grazing land. A total of 30 water
samples were collected, 20 and 10 from the
Mymensingh and Kushtia districts, respectively.
For each sample 10 grab water samples from
different location were collected in plastic jars and
each grab sample was 10 liters. The water samples
were initially passed through a mesh sieve (40mm
pore size) to remove course particle and then 1
micron polyester filter bag (Duda, LLC, USA).
The concentrated sample retained in the 1 micron
filter bag was collected after mixing with 1%
Tween 20 in a 50 ml tube. The samples were then
centrifuged at 1500 x g for 30 min twice with
distilled water for washing and again concentrated
by the flotation technique using saturated salt
solution (Najdrowski et al., 2007). A drop of the
concentrate was smeared on a slide, stained by the
modified Ziehl-Neelsen technique (Henriksen SA
and Pohlenz, 1981) and examined under
microscope (40X, 100X). The positive samples
were washed with distilled water and stored at 4°C
until use for DNA extraction.

**Genomic DNA extraction**

Oocysts were lysed after several cycles of freeze
thawing, and DNA was extracted using Wizard
genomic DNA purification kit (Promega, Madison,
USA) according to the manufacturer’s
instructions. Purified DNA was resuspended in a
final volume of 25 µl of provided DNA rehydration buffer and stored at 4°C until use.

**Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)**

A primary PCR was performed by targeting the SSU rRNA gene of *Cryptosporidium* to confirm the detection of *Cryptosporidium* from water samples according to the protocol described by (Xiao et al., 1999) with some modification. A PCR product of 1,325 bp from target gene was amplified by forward (5’- TTCTAGAGCTAATACATGCG - 3’) and reverse primer (5’- CCCATTTTCTTCGAAACAGGA - 3’) (IDT, USA). PCR reaction was performed in a total 50 µl reaction volume containing PCR Master mix (Promega, USA), 200 nM of each forward and reverse primer and 2 µl DNA sample. A total of 35 cycles were carried out, each consisting of 94°C for 45s, 53°C for 45s and 72°C for 1 min, with an initial hot start at 94°C for 3 min and a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. One kb DNA ladder (Promega, USA) was used to detect the band size of target DNA.

**Agarose gel electrophoresis**

PCR products were analyzed in 1.5% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide and examined against UV light using an image documentation system. The positive sample was recorded based on the appearance of expected size of band in the gel.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Microscopic detection of Cryptosporidium**

Conventional methods include examination of fecal smears with acid-fast stains such as Ziehl-Neelsen (Scott, 1988), which is commonly used by diagnostic facilities. Conventional microscopy is time-consuming and tedious and requires experienced person to accurately identify the oocysts (Garcia et al., 1987; Kehl et al., 1995) but still in use in many laboratories of the world as a cost-effective detection method of *Cryptosporidium*. In this study, *Cryptosporidium* oocyst from concentrated water sample was examined under the microscope following stained with Ziehl-Neelsen stain for initial screening of the sample before going to PCR steps.

Oval or round shaped pink colored oocysts of *Cryptosporidium* were observed under microscopy with pink background (Figure 1, 100X). In Mymensingh district, *Cryptosporidium* was found in 30% pond water samples and 40% waste water samples whereas the parasite was not detected in any samples collected from drain (Table 1).

**Figure 1**

Oocyst of *Cryptosporidium* (100X) stained with Ziehl Neelsen stain. Arrows indicate oocysts of *Cryptosporidium*. Scale bar: 10 µm.

**Table 1**

Detection of *Cryptosporidium* by microscopy with Ziehl Neelsen staining of samples collected at the Mymensingh district.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Samples</th>
<th>No of sample</th>
<th>No of positive sample</th>
<th>Percent positive sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pond water sample</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain water sample</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste water sample</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample were defined as positive by observing the presence of at least 2 oocysts of *Cryptosporidium*.

Of the water sample from Kushtia district, 25% pond water samples and 33.33% drain water samples were *Cryptosporidium* positive whereas no waste water samples were positive for *Cryptosporidium* (Table 2).
Table 2
Detection of Cryptosporidium by microscopy with Ziehl Neelsen staining of samples collected at the Kushtia district.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Samples</th>
<th>No of sample</th>
<th>No of positive sample</th>
<th>Percent positive sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pond sample</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain sample</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste water sample</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample were defined as positive by observing the presence of at least 2 oocysts of Cryptosporidium

The overall prevalence of Cryptosporidium in water samples was 20% in this study. In the Mymensingh district, the highest prevalence was recorded in waste water samples. This might be due to contamination of waste water with manure from Cryptosporidium-infected wild or farm animals. Cryptosporidium was not detected in drain water of the Mymensingh district. Probably the flow of drain water might take away the oocysts to the big reservoir. On the other hand, in the Kushtia district the higher prevalence of Cryptosporidium was observed in drain water sample compared to pond water samples might be due to the contamination of drain water with infected animals or farms and oocyst were retained the water bodies at the collection site.

The findings of this study conform to those of Hassan et al. (2012) who found Cryptosporidium in 27.5% water samples by microscopy. Cryptosporidium spp. infection was most common in children (44, 96%) (Khan et al., 2004). In that study, human infections were found in 7(25.9%) of 27 people examined. Cattle cryptosporidiosis cases was recorded in 7 (41.2%) of 17 examined, and goat cases in 3 (42.9%) of 7 examined (Park et al., 2006).

**PCR detection of Cryptosporidium**

PCR-based methods have been used increasingly in the detection and analysis of Cryptosporidium oocysts in water (Xiao et al., 2004). The detection specificity and sensitivity is high in PCR based methods. The SSU rRNA gene was the target since this gene provides more than 99% accuracy to identify Cryptosporidium from different animal or host (Cai et al., 2001). The primers were selected to amplify a target sequence of 1325 bp size (Xiao et al., 1999). However, before examining the field sample, the PCR based reaction was optimized by using known DNA of Cryptosporidium. Different concentration of DNA was used and 100 ng DNA was found suitable to get optimum band of target DNA of Cryptosporidium.

The PCR reaction was performed by using different concentrations (12.5 ng- 100 ng) of DNA harvested from tentatively identified samples. A very clear thick band was detected during agarose gel electrophoresis using 100 ng of DNA sample, suggesting that 100 ng of DNA was required to get clear convencing band. Band was appeared at the expected size (1325bp) confirming the oocysts which was tentatively diagnosed by microscopy (Figure 2).
Figure 3
Amplification of Cryptosporidium target DNA obtained from water samples by PCR. Lane 1, pond water of Mymensingh; lane 2, pond water of Kushtia; lane 3, drain water of Mymensingh; lane 4, drain water of Kushtia; lane 5, waste water of Mymensingh; lane 6, waste water of Kushtia; and lane 7, negative control.

Only the positive samples of each category and all negative samples of each category were pooled in separate tube and subjected to DNA extraction. The DNA was subjected to PCR reaction to amplify target DNA of Cryptosporidium (1325bp). The gel image of resolved DNA in agarose demonstrated that DNA from pond water samples of Mymensingh (Figure 3, Lane 1) showed faint band whereas DNA from pond water samples of Kushtia (Figure 3, lane 2) did not show any visible band. DNA from drain water samples of Mymensingh (Figure 3, lane 3) showed faint band whereas DNA from drain water sample of Kushtia did not show any band (Figure 3, lane 4). DNA from waste water sample of Mymensingh (Figure 3, lane 5) showed very strong band of 1325 kb, but DNA from waste water sample of Kushtia (Figure 3, lane 6) did not show any band.

In this study, the positive samples were pooled to increase the oocyst concentration as well as the amount of DNA concentration to increase the chance of detection of Cryptosporidium. The negative samples by microscopy were also pooled and subjected to DNA extraction if there is any oocyst present which could miss during microscopic examination. The PCR detection of Cryptosporidium was found positive from most of the microscopically positive samples. The negative samples by microscopy were also negative by PCR detection methods. The pooled pond water and drain water samples from the Kushtia district were negative by PCR but were positive by microscopy. One possible explanation is the difficulty to discriminate between Cryptosporidium oocysts and Microsporidia by microscopy, as both of the organisms are very similar in size and both are acid fast. A similar observation was made by (Xiao et al., 2006) where six of the 24 microscopy-positive samples were negative by PCR.

In this study, PCR detection of Cryptosporidium oocysts in drain water of the Kushtia district was positive but was negative by microscopy probably due to higher sensitivity of PCR methods compared to traditional microscopy methods. However, low signals of some sample were observed which may be due to low concentration of Cryptosporidium DNA in total extracted DNA samples. In a sample of Mymensingh lane 5 (DNA from waste water sample) strong band of target sequence was observed probably the oocyst concentration as well amount of Cryptosporidium DNA in total extracted DNA was higher than the others.

Collectively, the results confirm the presence of Cryptosporidium in different types of sources of water in both Mymensingh and Kushtia district, indicating the existence or prevalence of Cryptosporidium in the study areas. However further studies are needed to explore the present status of prevalence of Cryptosporidium in humans and animals in order to better understanding the transmission dynamics of the parasite and thereafter taking necessary measure to control and prevent the disease.
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