

# Prevalence and risk factors of mastitis in cows at Gurudaspur upazila in Natore district

Md. Al-Faruk<sup>1</sup>, Md. Fazlul Hoque<sup>1</sup>\*, Md. Shajedur Rahman<sup>1</sup>, Md. Abu Hassan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, Surgery and Obstetrics Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Dinajpur-5200, Bangladesh

<sup>2</sup>Department of Anatomy and Histology, Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Dinajpur-5200, Bangladesh

## ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT

Article history	The study was conducted over a period of one year from October 2016 to October 2017 in different milk chilling centers of Aarong Dairy at Gurudaspur upazila in Natore District. A total of 245 milk samples
Accepted 09 Mar 2018	were randomly collected for the study and the samples were tested by somatic cells count test. The
Online release 25 Mar 2018	overall prevalence of clinical mastitis in cows was 11.02%, of which 6.53% was significantly (P<0.05)
	higher severe clinical form of mastitis, 3.67% by moderate clinical form and 0.82% by mild clinical
Keyword	form of mastitis. Mastitis was significantly (P<0.05) higher in cross breed cows (15.2 %) than the local
	breed (6.67%). On age basis mastitis was higher in above 7 years cows (16.92%), moderate in 5-7 years
Mastitis	cows (9.47%) and lowest in below 4 years cows (8.23%). Prevalence of mastitis was highest in early
Risk factor	lactation stage (17.89%) followed by mid lactation stage (7.5%) and lowest in late lactation stage
Somatic cell count test	(5.71%). On the basis of quarter infection in dairy cows about 55.56% affect single quarter followed
Dairy cow	by 25.95% in two quarters, 11.11% in three quarters and 7.40% was in four quarter respectively.
	There was significant relationship (P<0.05 between prevalence of clinical mastitis with general physical
*Corresponding Author	condition and periparturient diseases of mastitis indicating that poor physical condition cows and
	periparturient diseases favours in the occurrence of mastitis in dairy cows than the good physical
MF Hoque	condition. Treatment with Masticare plus® @100 gm (Square) was effective for the cureness of
King thoque.hstu@gmail.com	mild clinical form of mastitis, Inj.Gentaren @ 10 ml injection (Renata) and Inj.Kop-Vet® (Square)
	@100 ml was effective for the cureness of moderate clinical form of mastitis, Mastanil® @ 7.5
	gm ointment (TECHNO), systemic antibiotic Inj. Amcox 2.5 gm @ Renata and anti- mastitis
	powder Masticare plus ® @100 gm (square) was effective for the cureness of severe clinical form
	of mastitis respectively. The study revealed that mastitis may found in dairy cows in different forms in
	respect of their breed, age, lactation stage, and quarter variation, risk factors also plays important role in
	the occurrence of mastitis.

## INTRODUCTION

Mastitis is a disease of major economic importance in dairy industry worldwide Dairy farmers in Bangladesh are not always aware of the best practices to control mastitis (Rahman et al., 1997). The estimated numbers of dairy farms in Bangladesh is 1.4 million (Hemme, 2015). It is the part of the mixed farming systems (Saadullah, 2015) and a predominant source of income and nutrition and jobs. Milk is the major sources of money income from dairying. Annual milk production in Bangladesh is 16.2 lack metric tons and about 72.75% milk comes from cattle (FAO, 2008). However, Bangladesh has an acute shortage of milk. The produced milk can fulfill only 16.84% of the total requirement in Bangladesh (BLRI, 2015-16). Dairying in Bangladesh is growing faster but it also faces lot of problems of high input and low output prices. Disease, along with non-availability of feed resources and nutrition are the most important constraints to milk production.

Mastitis is a disease of the mammary gland caused by bacterial infection and the most common and costly health disorder of dairy cows (Ruegg, 2003). It has a negative economic impact on dairy farms in terms of disordered milk, lost production, reduced milk equality and treatment costs (Seegers et al., 2003). Furthermore, owing to transmissibility of devastating diseases like

How to cite this article: Faruk MA, Hoque MF, Rahman MS and Hassan MA (2018). Prevalence and risk factors of mastitis in cows at Gurudaspur upazila in Natore district. International Journal of Natural and Social Sciences, 5(2): 28-34.

spirosis etc. through (Frost

tuberculosis, brucellosis, leptospirosis etc. through milk to human beings, the disease is also important from zoonotic standpoint.

Epidemiological study revealed that infectious agents of mastitis may be transmitted from infected animals from milker's hand (Philpot, 1975; Oliver, 1975), milking cans and in milk samples. All breeds of dairy cows are susceptible to mastitis. High yielding dairy cows are commonly affected than low yielders. Exotic and cross breed cows are more prone to mastitis than the zebu cows (Roy et al, 1989). Prevalence of infection increases in multiparous cows, within 2-3 months of lactation, abnormally large udder, unhygienic environment and means of milking, unclean milker's hand udder wound, and mismanagement of milking machine (Alom, 2001). Prevalence of clinical mastitis in Bangladesh is about 13.3% (Prodhan et al., 1996).

Mastitis remains the most costly infectious disease to the dairy industry and is the most frequent cause of antibiotic use on dairy farms (Erskine et al., therapy 2003). Antibiotic combined with supportive therapy resulted in less severe disease, higher clinical and bacteriological cure rates, and lower recurrence rates in cows with clinical mastitis, compared with supportive therapy only. Complete cessation of antibiotic usage for treatment of clinical mastitis may result in increased clinical mastitis incidence and increased expense in the long term. Antibiotics for treatment of clinical mastitis must be chosen rationally. Antimicrobial treatment of dairy cows creates residues in milk and residue avoidance is an important aspect of mastitis treatment (Wagner and Erskine, 2006). The activity of microlids tetracycline and trimethoprim-sulfonamide has been shown to be reduced in milk (Louhi et al., 1992). Supportive treatment, including the parenteral injection of large quantities of isotonic fluids, particularly those containing glucose, and antihistaminic drugs, is indicated in cases where extensive tissue damage and severe toxemia are present. The application of cold usually in the form of crushed ice in a canvas bag suspended around the udder may reduce absorption of toxins in such cases. If the infection can be eliminated from individual quarters by treatment, the disease is eradicable fairly simply and economically

(Frost, 1965). The purpose of the treatment is to destroy the irritant, repair the damaged tissue and return the udder to normal function. Identification of risk factors is important for the design of control programmes for mastitis in cows. The present study was undertaken to study the overall prevalence of mastitis in cows by somatic cells count test at Gurudaspur upazila in Natore district with observing the breed, age, lactation and quarter's wise prevalence of mastitis in cows. The risk factor and the therapeutic efficacy of different treatments against mastitis in cows were also evaluated.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out in different dairy farms at Gurudashpur upazila in Natore district over a period of one year from October 2016 to October 2017. A total of 245 dairy cows were selected for diagnosis of mastitis in cattle. During the study period the data were analyzed on the basis of breed, age, lactation stage, quarter infection and risk factors of mastitis in cows. The cases were recorded during the physical visit of the farms; owner's statement. The clinical diagnosis of mastitis was made on the clinical signs, clinical history, and physical examination.

## **Clinical examination of mastitis**

The most obvious symtomps of clinical mastits are deponds on mild, moderate or severe form of mastitis. The udder such as swelling, heat, hardness, redness, or pain and the milk such as a watery appearance, flakes, clots or pus. Other symptoms depend upon the severity of the illness and a reduction in milk yield, an increase in body temperature, the lack of appetite and sunken eyes. In case of subclinical mastitis the casein and calcium levels in blood stream of mastitis affected cows are reduced and it affects the taste of milk. It also the normal  $P^H$  of milk 6.6 is increased into 6.8 to 6.9. Signs of diarrhoea and dehydration.a reduction of motility due to pain in udder or simply feeling unwell.

The severity of clinical mastitis can be interpreted as mild form (Flakes and clots in milk, slight swelling of infected quarter and absence of systemic reaction/fever); moderate form (Generalized swelling of infected quarter, painful udder and abnormality in milk without systemic reaction) and severe form (The secretion was abnormal with hot and swollen quarter or udder, fever, rapid pulse, loss of appetite, stopped milking, dehydration and depression).

## Laboratory examination of mastitis

Somatic cell count (SCC) test was applied to identify the milk quality as well as counting the bacterial presence for mastitis disease in per ml of milk. In this methods 1.5 gm mastoprim reagent (Kiev Company Labtaim LTD. Ukraine) mixed with 100 ml distilled water and prepared the working solution. Then 5 ml reagent mixed solution kept into SCC flask and pressed enter to ready to receive the milk sample. Then 10 ml milk (30-35°C) also kept by another pipette and pressed the enter button. Finally the flasks were rotated 10 times automatically and give the result of samples into Somatic cell count machines. Two types of values like C value and N value indicates milk quality mastitis pregnancy with somatic cell respectively. Multiplication of N value with 1000 provided the somatic cells numbers present in per ml of milk. Presence of about ≤100000 number of somatic cells per ml milk indicate subclinical (mild) form of mastitis, about  $\geq$ 200000 indicates moderate infection of udder (moderate type mastitis) and about ≥300000 of somatic cells indicated severe clinical form of mastitis.

## Treatments

A total of 30 cows were selected for antibiotic treatment and the animals were divided into 3 equal groups depending on the severity of mastitis. Group A (mild form) was treated with antimastitis powder (Masticare plus®) @ 100 gm per cow orally for 5 days. Group B (moderate form) was treated with gentamycin (Gentaren® Renata) @ 1ml/ 10kg body weight, intramuscularly for 1<sup>st</sup> day at 12 hours interval and then at 24 hours interval for 5 days and anti-inflammatory agents injection (Kop-Vet® Square ltd.) intramuscularly @ 3mg/kg body weight daily for 5 days. Group C (severe form) was treated with intramammary antibiotics syringe (Mastanil 7.5 gm ointment TECHNO) @ 1 syringe (Gentamicin Sulphate

100mg) per affected quarter at every 12 hours daily 1 times for 5 days; injection amoxicillin Na and Cloxacillin Na 1.25 1.25 gm (Amcox Renata 2.5 gm) @ 10 ml per 100 kg body weight cow 1 time daily for 5 days and anti mastitis power (Masticare plus ®100 gm) 30 gm two times orally for 5 days.

## Data analysis

The prevalence of clinical mastitis was the dependent variable while age, breed,, lactation stage, quarter involvement, risk factors like as general physical condition, Frequency of dung removal, effect of reproductive diseases were independent variables considered at cow level. The independent variables at herd level include barn floor status and hygienic strategy. The association between dependent and independent variables were tasted by logistic regression. For analysis of data IBM SPSS Statistics 20.0 software package and the chart was created by Microsoft Excel 2007 software was used.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Frequency and distribution of mastitis

The data demonstrated that the overall prevalence clinical mastitis was 11.02 % and mild of clinical mastitis (N  $\geq$  1,00,000) was 6.53 %, moderate type of clinical mastitis (N $\geq$ 2.00.000) was 3.62% and severe form of clinical mastitis (N≥3,00,000) was 70.42 % (Table 1). The lowest level (mild clinical) of mastitis ( $N \le 1,00,000$ ) was 0.82% and highest clinical mastitis ( $N \ge 3,00,000$ ) severe form was 6.53% in this study. This result is similar to the report of McDougall (1999), who reported overall prevalence of mastitis is 12.4% and lowest clinical and highest clinical mastitis was 0.19% and 21.45% respectively. However, the severe clinical or highest clinical mastitis prevalence rate reported by McDougall (1999) was higher that the results of this study. It may differ due to different geographical location, age or breed variation or methodology. However the result of this study is in accordance with the report of Pankey et al. (1996) who reported 12.2% overall mastitis with lowest and highest clinical mastitis was 2.8% and 8.1% respectively.

#### Table 1

Overall prevalence of mastitis by somatic cells count test in dairy cows.

Forms of mastitis	Total sample	No. of	Percentage %	% of	P value
I offits of mastrus		positive test	Tereentage 70	Category	
Mild clinical N $\leq$ 1,00,000	245	16	6.53		
Moderate clinical (N≥200000)	245	9	3.67	11.02	0.014*
Severe clinical (N≥300000)	245	2	0.82		
P<0.05, significant at 5% level.					

Table 2

Prevalence of mastitis in dairy cows based on the characteristics.

Characteristics		No. of Cows Examined	Mastitis Prevalence %	Chi-square test (p-value)	
Breed	Indigenous	120	6.67	0.033*	
	Crossbred	125	15.2		
	Total	245	11.02		
Age(Years)	< 4 yrs	85	8.23		
	5-7 yrs	95	9.47	0.20(NS)**	
	> 7 yrs	65	16.92		
	Early (60-90) days	95	17.89		
Lactation stage	Mid 91-180) days	80	7.5	0.034*	
	Late >181 days	70	5.71		
Affected quarter	$1^{st}$	15	55.56		
	$2^{nd}$	7	25.95	0.0012**	
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	3	11.11		
	4 <sup>th</sup>	2	7.40		

P<0.05, significant at 5% level; \* = Significant (P < 0.05)

\*\* Means statistically highly significant at 1% level of significant.

#### **Animal characteristics**

The prevalence of mastitis in indigenous cow was 6.67% and in cross breed was 15.2%. The result indicated that the prevalence of mastitis was higher (15.2%) in cross breed cows than indigenous cow (6.67%) (Table 2).

The prevalence of mastitis for the age of cows of < 4 yrs, 5-7 yrs and > 7 yrs were 8.23%, 9.43%, and 16.97 % respectively (Table 2). This result is supported by the result of Lidet et al. (2013). Kathiriya et al. (2014) reported slightly higher prevalence of mastitis in dairy cows of < 4 years 22.22%, in 5-7 years 27.94% and > 7 years 21.21% respectively. The prevalence of mastitis is higher in aged cows than the young cows (Sarba and Tola, 2017) which support the present study.

The prevalence of mastitis in early lactation stage was 17.89%, mild lactation stage was 7.5%, and late stage was 5.71% (Table 2) which is supported by the study of Hogan et al. (1990) who reported that the prevalence rate in early lactation, mid lactation and late lactation stage were 15.38%, 8.80% and 5.36% respectively.

The prevalence of mastitis in single quarter was 55.56%, in two quarters 25.95%, in three quarters 11.11% and in four quarters 7.40% with overall 4.08% (Table 2). This result is in accordance with the results of Radostits et al. (2000) where the prevalence of quarter wise mastitis was overall 3.16% with 57.89%, 26.32%, 10.53%, and 5.26% for one to four number of quarters by turns.

#### **Risk factors of mastitis**

## Physical condition

The prevalence of clinical mastitis was 15.85% and 3.68% in poor and good physical condition respectively (Table 3). The present findings revealed a significant association between the general physical condition and mastitis prevalence in cows. The poor healthy cows are more

### Table 3

Prevalence of mastitis in dairy cows according to risk factors.

susceptible than good healthy cows. This report is supported by the report of Rehman et al. (1997), where 20.13% mastitis was found in poor condition and 3.68% in good health cows. The result of this study is slightly higher than the result of Rehman et al. (1997) who reported that increased milk production by an animal of good health might be one of the risk factors. It was suggested that high milk yield might predispose animals to udder infections.

Risk factors		No. of Examined	Cows	Mastitis Prevalence	P value
Physical	Poor	138		15.94	0.001*
condition	Good	107		4.67	- 0.004*
D 1	1	70		12.86	
(Times/day)	2	85		9.41	0.74 (NS)
(Times/day)	3	90		11.11	
	Concrete or brick- block	160		12.5	0.31 (NS)
Floor Type	Soiled	85		8.24	
	Total	245		Overall 11.02	
Reproductive diseases	Cowswithoutahistoryofperiparturientdiseases	185		3.78	0.00** (S)
	Cows with a history of periparturient diseases	60		33.33	

Poor = Cachectic condition; Good = slightly emaciated condition.

\* = Significant (P<0.05); \*\* = Statistically Highly significant.(P<0.05)

#### Frequency of dung removal

Prevalence of mastitis in dairy cows with frequency of dung removal 1 times/day was 12.86%, for 2 times/ day 9.41% and 3 times/ day was 11.11% respectively (Table 3). Similar results have been documented by Carroll (1977) where the prevalence was 8.70% and 6.31% in case of dung removal by one time and two times per day. Environmental mastitis pathogens with reservoirs in dung, floor, bedding etc. are only occasionally associated with mastitis. Therefore, mastitis control practices directed against environmental mastitis pathogens are not likely to be as potentially rewarding as practices aimed at controlling contagious pathogens.

## **Floor condition**

The prevalence of mastitis was significantly affected by floor conditions. The prevalence of mastitis was 12.5% with concrete or brick-block floor and 8.24% in farms with soil floor respectively (Table 3). This can be explained by the fact that farms with soil floor would dry more quickly than the brick floor (Hogan et al., 1990). Kivaria et al. (2004) showed scarcity of water as one of the potential risk factors for the prevalence of mastitis. This is true for the area where the present investigation is done. Moreover, soiled floor cleaning and disinfection is difficult than concrete floor.

## **Reproductive diseases**

Cows without a history of periparturient diseases were 3.78% mastitis and with a history of periparturient diseases was 33.33%. This result strongly is supported by the report of Bari et al. (2014) where without a history Cows of periparturient disease had a prevalence of 3.73% mastitis, in contrast, 33.67% of cows with a history of periparturient disease had mastitis. Once a cow is infected or diseased during the periparturient period, it becomes more susceptible

#### Table 4

Efficacy of treatment regimens on clinical mastitis in cows.

to udder infection due to lowered immunity (Nickerson, 1994).

### Efficacy of treatment

Cure rate of 70%, 60% and 40% was observed when treated with intrammamary antibiotic, systemic antibiotic + anti-inflammatory and combined of both treatment strategies respectively for treatment of mild clinical mastitis, moderate clinical and severe clinical mastitis (Table 4).

Type of mastitis		Treatment Outcome			Cured	P value
	Treatment regimens	Total	Cured	%	duration	
		cases	case	Cured		
Mild clinical	Intramammary Antibiotic/powder	10	7	70	3-5 days	0.39 (NS)
Moderate clinical	Systemic Antibiotic+ Anti-inflammatory	10	6	60	7-10 days	
Severe clinical	Intramammary Antibiotic+Systemic Antibiotic+ Anti-inflammatory	10	4	40	15-21 days	
NTG 11						

NS = statistically nonsignificant.(P>0.05)

It is observed that when treatment was given after conformation of stage of mastitis (rational treatment), it gives better result than those treating with any cases of mastitis in field condition by systemic antibiotics (Conventional treatment). The response to treatment in the present study is less than the report given by Bari et al. (2014) by using intrammamary antibiotic, systemic antibiotic + anti-inflammatory and combined of both treatment strategies with the cure rate of 80%, 71.4% and 66.67% respectively.

## CONCLUSION

The prevalence of mild clinical mastitis was highest level than the other form of mastitis in the study area. Cross breeds cows are more susceptible to mastitis than the indigenous cows. Adult cows (>7 years) are more affected with mastitis than the younger cows. Early lactation staged of cows are more prevalent to mastitis than the other lactation staged of cows. Mastitis affects single quarter than multiple quarters. Poor physical condition cows and periparturient diseases favours in the occurrence of mastitis in dairy cows than the physical condition. Treatment good with

Masticare plus ® @100 gm (square) was effective for mild clinical form of mastitis, Inj.Gentaren @ 10 ml injection (Renata) and Inj.Kop-Vet® @100 ml (Square pharmaceutical ltd) was effective for the moderate clinical form of mastitis, Mastanil® 7.5 (a) gm ointment (TECHNO drug ltd), systemic antibiotic Inj. Amcox 2.5 gm (Renata) @ and anti- mastitis powder Masticare plus® @100 gm (Square pharmaceutical ltd) was effective for the severe clinical form of mastitis respectively.

## REERENCES

- Alom MG (2001). Udder inflammation: Control & Management. Monthly Poultry and Dairy Barta Vol. 12 (2). P:1-5.
- Bari MS, Alam M, Uddin M and Rahman MK (2014). Prevalence and associated risk factors of bovine clinical mastitis in Patiya upazila under chittagong district of Bangladesh. International Journal of Natural Science, 4(1): 5-9.
- Carroll EJ (1977). Environmental factors in bovine mastitis. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association, 170: 1160-1163.
- Erskine RJ (2003). Antibacterial therapy of clinical mastitis part I. Drug selection. Part II

Administration. In: Proceedings of the 2003 North American Veterinary Conference. Orlando, FL, USA: NAVC, 13-16.

- FAO (2008). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Ministry of Fisheries and Livestock. Bangladesh, pp. 65.
- Frost AJ and Sandeson CJ (1965). Australian Veterinary Journal, 41, 97.
- Hogan JS, Smith KL, Todhunter DA and Schoenberger PS (1990). Bacterial counts associated with recycled chopped newspaper bedding. Journal of Dairy Science, 73: 1756-1767
- Hemme T (2008). IFCN Dairy Report. International Farm Comparison Network. IFCN Dairy Research Center. KielGermany.
- Kathiriya JB, Kabaria BB, Saradava DA and Sanepara DP (2014) Prevalence of subclinical mastitis in dairy cows in Rajkot district of Gujarat. International Journal of Science & Nature 5 : 433-436.
- Kivaria, FM, Noordhuizen JPTM and Kapaga AM (2004). Risk factors associated with sub-clinical mastitis in smallholder dairy cows in Tanzania. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 36: 581-592.
- Lidet GM, Benti D, Feyissa B and Abebe M (2013) Study on prevalence of bovine mastitis in lactating cows and associated risk factors in and around Areka town, Southern of Ethiopia. Afr. J. Microbiology Research, 7(43): 5051-5056
- Louhi M,and Inkinen K (1992). Relevance of sensitivity testings (MIC) of *S. aureus* to predict the antibacterial action in milk. Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine, 39: 253-262.
- McDougall S (1999). Prevalence of clinical mastitis in 38 Waikato dairy herds in early lactation. New Zealand Veterinary Journal, 47:143–149.
- Nickerson SC (1994). Bovine mammary gland structure and function – relationship to milk production and immunity to mastitis. Review of Agricultural Practice 15 8-18.
- Oliver J (1975). Some problems of mastitis control in hand dairy herds. In Dodd F.H., T.K. Griffin, and R.G. Kingorill (eds). Proc. International Dairy Federation Seminar on Mastitis Control. April 7-11, 1975 organized by the National Institute of Research in Dairyingat Reading Univ. (UK) PP. 188-192.
- Pankey JW, Pankey PB, Barker RM, Williamson JH and Woolford MW (1996). The prevalence of mastitis in primiparous heifers in eleven Waikato

dairy herds. New Zealand Veterinary Journal, 44(2):41-44.

- Philpot WN (1975). Prevention of infection-Hygiene. In: Dodd F.H., T.K. Griffin, R.G. King will (eds.) Proc. International Dairy Federation Seminar on Mastitis Control, April 7-11-1975, organized by the National Institute for Research in Dairying at Reading Univ. (U.K) PP. 155-164.
- Prodhan MAM, Kamal AHM and Mahbub-E-Elahi. ATM (1996). Prevalence of subclinical mastitis in cows of Baghabari Milkshed area. Bangladesh Veterinary Journal, 30: 59 – 61.
- Radostits OM, Gay CC, Blood DC, Hinchcliff KW and Constable PD (2006). Diseases of the mammary gland. In Veterinary Medicine, 10<sup>th</sup> ed., pp: 673-762. Saunders, Edinburg.
- Rahman MS, Norruddin M and Rahman MM (1997). Prevalence and distribution of mastitis in crossbred and exotic dairy cows. The Bangladesh Veterinarian, 14 1–4.
- Rehman MS, Nooruddin M and Rahman MN (1997). Prevalence and distribution of mastitis in crossbred and exotic dairy cows, Bangladesh Veterinarian, 14: 104-108.
- Roy SK, Pyne AK, Maitra DN, Dattagupta R, Mazumder SC (1989). Studies on sub-clinical mastitis in cross-breeds in hot humid conditions of West Bengal, Indian Veterinary Journal, 66: 844-846.
- Ruegg PL (2003). Investigation of Mastitis problems on farms in Veterinary Clinics of North America. Food Animal Practice, 19(1): 47-74.
- Saadullah M (2001). Smallholder Dairy Production and Marketing in Bangladesh. Paper presented at South-South Workshop on Smallholder Dairy Production and Marketing. NDDB-ILBS, 13-16, March, 2001. Ahmedabad, India.
- Sarba EJ and Tola GK (2017). Cross-sectional study on bovine mastitis and its associated risk factors in Ambo district of West Shewa zone, Oromia, Ethiopia. Vet World. 2017 Apr; 10(4): 398–402.
- Seegers H, Fourichon C and Beaudeau F (2003). Production effects related to mastitis and mastitis economics in dairy cattle herds. Veterinary Research, 24:475–491.
- Wagner S and Erskine R (2006). Antimicrobial drug use in bovine mastitis. In: Antimicrobial Therapy in Veterinary Medicine 4th edn). Giguere S, Prescott JD, Baggot RD *et al.* (eds). Oxford, Blackwell.