International Journal of Natural and Social Sciences
Print: 2617-6637 < ISSN > Online: 2313-4461

Performance of organic fertilizer (Agomoni Jaibo Sar) on yield and yield attributes of tomato

Golam Mahaboob[1]*, Eakramul Haque[2], Jahidul Haque[3], Shahadath Hossain[4], Nashir Uddin Mahmud[5], AKM Mahbubur Rahman[6]

[1]Principal Scientific Officer, BARC, Farmgate, Dhaka, [2]Scientific Officer, OFRD, BARI, Rangpur, [3]Agronomist, DCSC, Bangladesh Water Development Board, [4]Senior Scientific Officer, RARS, BARI, Jessore, [5]Senior Scientific Officer, PRSS, BARI, Gazipur

ARTICLE INFO

Article history

Received: 27 September 2024
Accepted: 30 October 2024

Keywords

Organic fertilizer, Agomoni Jaibo Sar, Tomato

***Corresponding Author**

M. Golam Mahaboob
golam.mahboob@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Organic fertilizers can be used in combination with chemical fertilizers to improve the yield and quality of tomatoes. However, its application can improve soil health, crop yield, and profitability of the farmers as it is a rich source of organic matter and plant nutrients. The experiment was conducted during rabi season 2019-2020 at Agricultural Research Station, On Farm Research Division, Alamnagar, Rangpur to find out the useful effects of organic fertilizer on growth and yield of tomato. The experiment was arranged in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with five treatments in three (03) compacted replicate blocks. The treatments included T_1: 100% Recommended Chemical Fertilizer (RCF), T_2: 85% CF + 3 tha-1 organic Fertilizer (OF), T_3: 85% CF + 1 tha-1 OF, T_4: 70% CF + 3 tha-1 OF and T_5: 70% CF + 1 tha-1 OF. The highest yield was obtained from T_2 which was statistically similar with T_3 and the lowest yield was found in T_5 treatment. Maximum gross margin was obtained from the treatment T_2 followed by T_3 and minimum from T_5 treatment. Integrated application of organic matter and chemical fertilizer has a good potential in tomato production as it provides higher fruit yield and economic profitability.

INTRODUCTION

The term **"**Organic fertilizer" comprises material from animal or plant origin. It covers all soil amendments that add to the pool of soil organic matter, namely organic compounds and carbon (C). Soil organic matter improves the physical properties of the soil by improving its structure and water holding capacity and by preventing nutrient leaching.

Since high temperatures promote the decomposition of organic matter in soils (FAO, 2013), the addition of organic matter to soils is particularly important for maintaining long-term soil fertility. Organic fertilizers usually also provide some measure of N, P and K, as well as varying amounts of micronutrients. Poor soil fertility resulting from low organic matter content is a major production constraint in Bangladesh. Better soil fertility with higher organic matter content is a prerequisite for sustainable crop production, and organic manure can play a role in increasing soil fertility and crop production. Application of organic manures has been reported to increase crop yield and improve soil quality, especially soil organic matter content (Islam et al., 2010; Su et al. 2022). Although synthetic fertilizer contains higher quantities of plant nutrients than organic fertilizer, the presence of growth-promoting agents in organic fertilizer makes them important for enhancement of soil fertility and productivity (Yadav and Garg, 2016).Soil productivity is affected by cropping systems and crop management practices including tillage, synthetic fertilizer, and organic manure management (Anwar et al., 2017). It has been reported that continuous and unbalanced use of synthetic fertilizer degrades physicochemical and biological soil environment (Mahajan et al., 2007). Balanced fertilization is a prerequisite for exploiting optimum crop yield potential and

How to cite this article: Mahaboob G, Haque E, Haque J, Hossain S, Mahmud NU and Rahman AKMM (2024). Performance of organic fertilizer (Agomoni Jaibo Sar) on yield and yield attributes of tomato. International Journal of Natural and Social Sciences, 11(3): 68-72. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.14676600

beneficial effects of organic manure in crop production have been demonstrated (Ferdous et al., 2011; Mahamood et al., 2016; Moyin-Jesu, 2015).Combined application of organic fertilizer along with synthetic fertilizer could be a promising soil management practice to improve crop productivity, soil fertility, and sustainability (Hernandez et al., 2016; Moyin-Jesu, 2015).

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum L.*) is a very important vegetable crop and consumed in most parts of the world, from home gardens and greenhouses to large commercial farms due to its wider adaptability to various agro-climatic conditions (Ferdous and Islam, 2008).It is one of the most fashionable salad vegetables and is taken with great relish. It is also one of the organically produced vegetables crops in the world. The continuous use of chemical fertilization leads to deterioration of soil characteristics and fertility, and may lead to the accumulation of heavy metals in plant tissues which compromises fruit nutrition value and edible quality (Shimbo et al., 2001). Chemical fertilizer also reduces the protein content of crops, and the carbohydrate quality of such crops also gets degraded (Marzouk & Kassem, 2011). The main sources of the organic fertilizers are composted livestock manures, plant residues and industrial wastes. The organic fertilizers provide the nutritional requirements of plants and also suppress the plant pest populations. Additionally, they increase the microbial activity in soil, anion and cation exchange capacity, organic matter and carbon-content of soil. Organic fertilizers increase the yield and quality of agricultural crops in ways similar to inorganic fertilizers (Du et al 2022). Agomoni is a newly introduce organic fertilizer that can improve the yield of crops. Therefore, the study was taken to find out the useful effects of organic fertilizer on growth and yield of tomato.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site description and experimental design

The experiment was conducted during 2019-2020 cropping seasons at the Agricultural Research Station, On farm Research Division, Alamanagar, Rangpur, Bangladesh located at $25^0$43.251’ N latitude and $089^0$15.735’ E longitude with an elevation of 29 m above mean sea level. The area mostly falls under high- and medium-high land of the Tista Meander Floodplain (Anowar et al., 2015; Ferdous et al., 2016). Water holding capacity of the soil is good. The area receives an average annual rainfall of around 2,160 mm with an average temperature of about 25°C (Ferdous et al., 2016).

The experiment was arranged in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with five treatments in three (03) compacted replicate blocks. The treatments included T_1: 100% Recommended Chemical Fertilizer (RCF), T_2: 85% CF + 3 tha^{-1} organic Fertilizer (OF) T_3: 85% CF + 1 tha^{-1} OF, T_4: 70% CF + 3 tha^{-1} OF, and T_5: 70% CF + 1 tha^{-1} OF. The crop variety was BARI tomato-17. Each plot measured 3m×2m. Thirty days old seedlings were transplanted on 10 November, 2019 maintaining 60 cm x 40cm spacing.

Crop management

The crop was fertilized with recommended doses of fertilizers at the rate of 207-50-130-20-3 kg/ha of NPKSZn along with organic fertilizer as par treatments. All the fertilizers were applied at the time of final land preparation except urea and MoP. N and K were applied in three equal installments 10 days after transplanting (DAT), 22 DAT and 36DAT. Bavistin (Auto Crop Care Ltd.), Marshal® ((Auto Crop Care Ltd.), Tafgor 40 EC (Auto Crop Care Ltd., SECURE® (Bayer CropScience) and Acrobat® SC (BASF Crop Solutions Australia) were applied against late blight disease. The crop was irrigated three times at 20 DAT, 37 DAT and 75 DAT. Other intercultural operations were done as and when necessary. The crop was harvested twelve times and the last harvest was done on25April 2020.

Data analysis

Data on yield and yield contributing characters were taken and statistically analyzed using ‘Statistics 10’ software package. Production of tomato included costs of field preparation, seed, planting, irrigation, organic manure and synthetic fertilizer, plant protection chemicals, and

harvesting. Gross return under a treatment was calculated by multiplying the gross amount of crop produced by the farm-gate price. The gross margin was calculated by subtracting cost of production from the gross return (Ferdous et al., 2017a).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The most important parameter i.e. yield which was affected significantly with different dozes of organic fertilizer on tomato production. The results presented in Table 1 revealed that there was significant difference among the treatments in respect of number of fruit plant^{-1}, weight of fruit plant^{-1} and yield. The highest number of fruit plant^{-1} (56) and weight of fruit plant^{-1} was obtained from T_2 (1.45 kg) and the lowest from T_5. The highest yield was observed in T_2 (50.59 t ha^{-1}) due to more number of fruit plant^{-1}& weight of fruit plant^{-1} and the lowest was in T_5 (35.32 t ha^{-1}). These results may be due the parameters of growth components increased with increasing amount of organic and inorganic fertilizers applied. This can be due to the role of organic fertilization in plant physiology and improving the quantity and quality growth characterization and can provide plants with essential elements required (Sun et al. 2003; Lin et al. 2010; Ferdous et al. 2014).

Crops can make immediate use of soil-available $NH4^+$ -N, P, and K in organic fertilizer, which can result in improved yield (Moller et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2010). Use of organic manure in combination with synthetic fertilizer has been reported to increase soil organic matter, nutrient concentrations, bulk density, water holding capacity, and soil temperature resulting in an increase in N use efficiency (Akanbi et al., 2010). This increase in N use efficiency promotes root and shoot growth, which can increase crop yield (Shahbaz et al., 2014). Katuwal and Bohara (2009) reported an increase in vegetable crop yields among 68% of farming households along with an increase in revenue among 42% households after use of organic manure. Muhmood et al. (2014) reported increased economic returns from spinach and chilli production when synthetic fertilizer was used in combination with organic manure. It may be that organic manure has potential to increase tomato yield and economic return of farmers.

Combination of organic and inorganic fertilizer treated plots produced higher yield than plots without combination of organic and inorganic fertilizer (Anwar et al. 2012; Ferdous et al., 2017). Similar results are reported by Ahmed et al. (2017) and Anil et al. (2008) who report increase fruit yield with phosphorus and organic manure application. Anil et al. (2008) observed an increase in seed yield with combine application of organic and inorganic fertilizers.

Economic performance

The cost and return analysis of different treatments are presented in Table 2. The highest gross return (BDT. 607080) was found in T_2 treatment and the lowest gross return (BDT. 423840) was recorded from T_5. The highest gross margin (BDT. 328520 ha^{-1}) was obtained from T_2. The lowest gross margin (Tk. 145280 ha^{-1}) was obtained from T_5. Similar result was reported by Ferdous et al. (2011a, 2011b) who report highest gross margin with combination of organic and inorganic fertilizer application.

Table 1: Yield and yield attributes of tomato as influenced by Organic fertilizer (Agomoni Jaibo Sar) at Agricultural Research Station, OFRD, BARI, Rangpur during 2019-2020

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Number of fruit plant^{-1}	Weight of Fruit plant^{-1} (kg)	Yield (t ha^{-1})
T_1: 100% Recommended Chemical Fertilizer (RCF)	122.20a	4.7333ab	1.1067b	38.613b
T_2: 85% RCF + 3 tha^{-1} OF	122.40a	5.6667a	1.4500a	50.597a
T_3: 85% RCF + 1 tha^{-1} OF	115.47a	5.1333a	1.2967ab	45.360ab
T_4: 70% RCF + 3 tha^{-1} OF	115.73a	4.7333ab	1.1433b	39.933b
T_5: 70% RCF + 1 tha^{-1} OF	116.20a	3.8667 b	1.0100b	35.327b
CV (%)	8.5893	1.0449	0.3045	10.655
LSD	3.85	11.50	13.46	13.48

Table 2: Cost and return analysis of tomato as influenced by Organic fertilizer (Agomoni Jaibo Sar) at Agricultural Research Station, OFRD, BARI, Rangpur during 2019-2020

Treatments	Yield (t ha^{-1})	Gross return (Tk. ha^{-1})	Total variable cost (Tk. ha^{-1})	Gross margin (Tk. ha^{-1})
T_1: 100% Recommended Chemical Fertilizer (RCF)	38.61	463320	278560	184760
T_2: 85% RCF + 3 tha^{-1} OF	50.59	607080	278560	328520
T_3: 85% RCF + 1 tha^{-1} OF	45.36	544320	278560	265760
T_4: 70% RCF + 3 tha^{-1} OF	39.93	479160	278560	200600
T_5: 70% RCF + 1 tha^{-1} OF	35.32	423840	278560	145280

Market price of Tomato @ 12 BDT kg^{-1}, urea @ 16, triple super phosphate @ 25, muriate of potash @15, gypsum @10, zinc sulphate @ 150 and boric acid@ 150 BDT kg^{-1}, Organic manure @ 7 BDT kg^{-1}

CONCLUSION

Fertilizer application, especially for chemical fertilizer and organic manure applied to tomato field, can be highly profitable with sustainable production increases for smallholder farming in northern region of Bangladesh. Integrated nutrient management (combination of organic and inorganic fertilizer) is the best option for higher tomato production in Bangladesh. From the study it can be concluded that if organic fertilizer usage can be increased then chemical fertilizer application will be decreased and soil health ultimately improved.

REFERENCES

Alam MAU, Ferdous Z, Islam K, Khatun MUS, Akter MB, Laily UK, Anwar M and Sarker KK (2017). Sustainable Water Management for Potato Production in Drought Prone Areas in Bangladesh. Annual Research & Review in Biology, 21(3): 1-9,

Akanbi WB, Togun AO, Adediran JA and Lupeju EAO (2010). Growth, dry matter and fruit yield components of okra under organic and inorganic sources of nutrients. Am-Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture. 4:1–13.

Anowar M, Parveen A, Ferdous Z, Kafi AH, Kabir ME (2015). Baseline survey for farmer livelihood improvement at farming system research and development, Lahirirhat, Rangpur. International Journal of Business Management and Social Research. 2:92–104.

Anowar MM, MZ Ferdous and Mozidul Islam (2012). Determination of nutrient management for Potato-Mungbean-T.aman rice cropping pattern. Bangladesh Journal of Progressive Science & Technology, 10(2): 173-176.

Anwar M, Ferdous Z, Sarker MA, Hasan AK, Akhter MB, Zaman MAU, Haque Z, Ullah H (2017). Employment Generation, Increasing Productivity and Improving Food Security through Farming Systems Technologies in the Monga Regions of Bangladesh. Annual Research Review and Biology, 16(6):1–15. DOI: 10.9734/ARRB/2017/35645

Du TY, He HY, Zhang Q, Lu L, Mao WJ and Zhai MZ (2022). Positive effects of organic fertilizers and biofertilizers on soil microbial community composition and walnut yield. Applied Soil Ecology, 175, 104457

FAOSTAT (Food, Agriculture Organization Corporate Statistical Database), 2013. FAO

Ferdous Z, Anwar M, Haque Z, Islam MK, Khatun MUS and Alam MA (2017). Sustainable food security through cropping system analysis using different farming technologies at northern region of Bangladesh. Progressive Agriculture 28 (3): 204-215. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3329/pa.v28i3.34656

Ferdous Z, Anwar M, Rahman MA, Yasmine F and Nain J (2011). Fertilizer management for maize-mungbean-T.aman based cropping pattern. Journal of Agroforestry and Environment, 5**,** 129–132.

Ferdous Z, Datta A and Anwar M (2018). Synthetic pheromone lure and apical clipping affects productivity and profitability of eggplant and cucumber. International Journal of Vegetable Science, DOI: 10.1080/19315260.2017.1407858.

Ferdous Z, Datta A, Anal AK, Anwar M and Khan MR (2016). Development of home garden model for year round production and consumption for improving resource-poor household food security

in Bangladesh. NJAS - Wageningen Journal of Life Science, 78, 103–110.

Ferdous Z, Datta A, Anwar M (2017). Effects of plastic mulch and indigenous microorganism on yield and yield attributes of cauliflower and tomato in inland and coastal regions of Bangladesh. Journal of Crop Improvement.31: 261–279 doi:10.1080/15427528.2017.1293578.

Ferdous Z, Datta A and Anwar M (2017). Effects of plastic mulch and indigenous microorganism on yield and yield attributes of cauliflower and tomato in inland and coastal regions of Bangladesh. Journal of Crop Improvement, 31:261–279. DOI: 10.1080/15427528.2017.1293578.

Ferdous Z and Islam MR (2008). Homestead winter vegetables production and utilization system in changini village of Comilla district. Bangladesh Journal of Agriculturist, 1(1): 183-185.

FRG (Fertilizer Recommendation Guide), 2012.Fertilizer Recommendation Guide-2012, vol. 1215.Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council, Farmgate, Dhaka, pp.274.

Islam MR, Rahman SME, Rahman MM, Deog-Hwan Oh and Chang Six RA (2010). The effects of biogas slurry on the production and quality of maize fodder. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry, 34:91–99.

Katuwal H and Bohara AK (2009). Biogas: A promising renewable technology and its impact on rural households in Nepal. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Review. 13:2668–2674.

Khatun MUS, Ferdous MZ, Islam MK and Anowar MM (2014). Performance of some high yielding garlic varieties at two locations of Bangladesh Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural University. 12(2): 235–239.

Liu B, Gumpertz ML, Hu S, Ristaino JB (2007). Long-term effects of organic and synthetic soil fertility amendments on soil microbial communities and the development of southern blight. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 39: 2302-2316.

Mahajan S, Kanwar SS and Sharma SP (2007). Long-term effect of mineral fertilizers and amendments on microbial dynamics in an alfisol of Western Himalayas. Indian Journal of Microbiology, 47:86–89.

Mahamood NU, Ferdous Z, Anwar M, Ali R, Sultana M (2016). Yield maximization of maize through nutrient management. Progressive Agriculture, 27 (4): 428–434.

Moller K, Stinner W, Deuker A and Leithold G (2008). Effects of different manuring systems with and without biogas digestion on nitrogen cycle and crop yield in mixed organic dairy farming systems. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems, 82:209–232.

Moyin-Jesu EI (2015). Use of different organic fertilizers on soil fertility improvement, growth and head yield parameters of cabbage (Brassica oleraceae L). International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture, 4:291–298.

Rahman MM, Yasmine F, Rahman MA, Ferdous Z and Kar PS (2011). Performance of poultry bio-slurry as a source of organic manure on potato production. Journal of Agroforestry and Environment, 5:81–84.

Sanwal SK, Lakminarayana K, Yadav RK, Rai N, Yadav DS and Bhuyan M (2007). Effect of organic manures on soil fertility, growth, physiology, yield and quality of turmeric.Indian Journal of Horticulture, 64:444–449.

Shahbaz M, Akhtar MJ, Ahmed W and Wakeel A (2014). Integrated effect of different N-fertilizer rates and bioslurry application on growth and N-use efficiency of okra (*Hibiscus esculentus* L.). Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry, 38:311–319.

Sarkar MAR, Pramanik MYA, Faruk GM, Ali MY (2004). Effect of green manures and levels of nitrogen on some growth attributes of transplant Aman rice. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences, 7 (5), 739–742.

Sarkar MAR, Pramanik MYA, Faruk GM and Ali MY (2004). Effect of green manures and levels of nitrogen on some growth attributes of transplant Aman rice. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences, 7 (5), 739–742.

Sarker MAI, Ferdous Z, Anwar M, Mahamud NU, Ali M (2010). Performance of poultry bio-slurry as a source of organic manure on wheat production. Bangladesh Journal of Environmental Science, 19:36–38.

Su JY, Liu CH, Tampus K, Lin YC, Huang CH (2022). Organic Amendment Types Influence Soil Properties, the Soil Bacterial Microbiome, and Tomato Growth. Agronomy, 12, 1236.

Yadav A and Garg VK (2016). Vermiconversion of biogas plant slurry and parthenium weed mixture to manure. International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture, 5:301–309.

Yu FB, Luo XP, Song CF, Zhang MX and Shan DS (2010). Concentrated biogas slurry enhanced soil fertility and tomato quality. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B - Soil & Plant Science, 60:262–268.